

MAP ID	Location	Comments	Place Type Change	Comment Category	Council District
1	University Research Park at Claude Freeman Dr & David Taylor Dr	Campus place type is far too restrictive for residential and commercial uses as currently listed in the article 15 draft. This is not in line with the 2040 plan recommendations.	Yes - CAC	Zoning	4
2	University Research Park at Claude Freeman Dr & David Taylor Dr	significant amount of residential inside of URP, which is not supported by Campus placetype assuming the property is not part of a government/educational and just private development. growth of URP should support more flexibility of uses and density	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	4
3	University Research Park at Claude Freeman Dr & David Taylor Dr	Campus is way too restrictive. Recent plans call for increased density and mix of uses...much of which would be restricted by a Campus designation.	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	4
4	Innovation Park - 8505 IBM Dr	just rezoned for mixture of uses including residential	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	4
5	305 Prine Pl	Based on conditional zoning that is approved and under development, this area should be Neighborhood 2.	Yes - N2	Rezoning	4
6	5707 N. Tryon St	Neighborhood 1 is not appropriate for transit station area. The site already is zoned TOD-CC. This old trailer park will be redeveloped.	Yes - CAC	Correction	1
7	Parcel 09910415 - part of 5817 Joyce Dr	Recommend changing this parcel to Neighborhood Center, to complement the current use, as well as what is adjacent on the right and also across the street.	Yes - NAC	Correction	1
8	SE Corner of Eastway Dr and Kilborne Dr	Compared with the North side of Independence this should be a neighborhood center - it is walkable to many different areas and has large residential population surrounding it	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	5
9	Parcel 09105109 - 4826 N Tryon St	The Phil Jackson Automotive and Elmore RV Park were updated in the UDO process to be zoned TOD-TR. Current use is commercial/multifamily. 2013 BLE plan calls for TOD. The 2040 policy map should reflect TOD.	Yes - CAC	Correction	1
10	905 E. Sugar Creek Rd	This should not be N-1 as the current use is Used Tire & Auto Sales. Current Zoning is TOD-TR	Yes - CAC	Other Corrections	1
11	917 E. Sugar Creek Rd	This should not be N1 as the current use is an Auto Repair shop.	Yes - CAC	Other Corrections	1
12	3924 The Plaza	Should be Commercial and not N-2 as current use is a gas station.	Yes - COMM	Correction	1
13	1125 E Sugar Creek Rd	This should be Commercial as it is an 8 establishment strip mall.	Yes - COMM	Correction	1
14	1203 E Sugar Creek Rd	This should be Commercial as its current use is an Auto Repair Shop.	Yes - COMM	Correction	1
15	3410 N Tryon St	Why is this still designated Neighborhood 1 and not Commercial along with the remainder of the block? This appears to be a mistake. I would think the city would prefer uniform arterial assemblages to encourage growth in key corridors.	Yes - COMM	Correction	1
16	3400 N Tryon St	Why is this still designated Neighborhood 1 and not Commercial along with the remainder of the block? I would think the city would want uniform use on key arterial blocks.	Yes - COMM	Correction	1
17	1723 Mecklenburg Av		Yes - N2	Campus Fix	1
18	900-904 Pecan Ave	Should be grouped with adjacent Community Activity Center place type. Includes landscape buffered retail, restaurant, and personal services that have open spaces, outdoor seating, and supports walkability.	Yes - NAC	Correction	1
19	901, 913, & 917 Pecan Ave	Should be grouped with adjacent Community Activity Center place type. Includes retail, restaurant, and personal services that have open spaces, outdoor seating, and supports walkability.	Yes - NAC	Correction	1
20	417 Pecan Ave	This is a business and should be added to adjacent Neighborhood Center place type.	Yes - NAC	Correction	1
21	Harrill St and Belmont Av	Three corners of the intersection are small retail/commercial mixed use - this should be neighborhood center	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	1
22	320 Parkwood Ave	This is so close to Parkwood Station and is already zoned TOD today, needs to be N2	Yes - N2	Correction	1
23	504 W 24th St	500/504 W 24th St should be N2. It's currently a commercial office building and everything else near it along W 24th St is also N2. If this property is redeveloped one day, with a residential purpose in mind, it will most certainly be done for MF not SF	Yes - N2	Correction	1
24	1326 Prince Hall Dr - Double Oaks Area	this parcel should match camp north end for place type. it backs up to a residential community and should be integrated with it. community activity center would allow both the commercial and residential areas to coexist	Yes - N2	Correction	1
25	501 S Bruns Ave	This should be changed to Campus place type. This is the site of the Bruns Academy building. The neighboring Campus hosts mobile classrooms for CMS.	Yes - N1	Campus Fix	2
26	2400 Tuckaseegee Rd	Neighborhood 1 zoning districts will hurt existing commercial uses (no expansion or change of use allowed) in this area. At a minimum, existing commercial uses should be changed to a zoning district that actually supports neighborhood commercial.	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	2
27	2620 Tuckaseegee Rd	Existing commercial/retail further up Tuckaseegee received either Neighborhood 2 or Commercial place type. Why didn't this existing commercial use? Neighborhood 1 is not supportive of commercial.	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	2
28	2620 Tuckaseegee Rd	Neighborhood 1 zoning districts do not allow new neighborhood commercial. There is a pattern of commercial development happening along Tuckaseegee in this area and the neighborhood 1 place type would not support it moving forward.	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	2
29	2709 Tuckaseegee Rd	Neighborhood 1 place type does not support new neighborhood commercial, only reuse of existing structures with no expansion and no change of use in the future. This is an issue for existing commercial fronting on Tuckaseegee.	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	2
30	2400 Tuckaseegee Rd	Existing commercial/retail further up Tuckaseegee received either Neighborhood 2 or Commercial place type. Why didn't this existing commercial use? Neighborhood 1 is not supportive of commercial.	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	2
31	121 & 125 Altondale Av	These two parcels should be included in the Neighborhood Center designation. They are currently zoned O-2.	Yes - NAC	Correction	6
32	121 & 125 Altondale Av	121 & 125 Altondale should be included in the Neighborhood Center designation. They are currently zoned O-2.	Yes - NAC	Correction	6
33	1147 Marsh Rd - Marsh & Park	This is a multi-family dev - should be NC	Yes - N2	Correction	1
34	223 & 227 Freeland Ln	This zoning is TOD-TR; is Neighborhood 1 the correct application here?	Yes - CAC	Correction	3
35	349 E Cama St (LoSo Townhomes)	This project was rezoned to UR-2(CD), shouldn't this area be Neighborhood 2?	Yes - N2	Rezoning	3

36	4150 Yancey Rd - OMB	this has been zoned TOD	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	3
37	351 E Peterson Dr	many of these parcels have been zoned TOD recently	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	3
38	PID 17513103 - 198 Matador Ln	This makes no sense that the entire east side of the creek is mostly Neighborhood 1 and a bit of Neighborhood 2 and you have carved out this parcel a Community Activity Center?? This should remain Neighborhood 1 or 2, consistent with this corridor.	Yes - N2	Correction	6
39	3011 Robin Rd	N1, its zoned MF now but is a SF lot access from a neighborhood street.	Yes - N1	N1 Preservation	6
40	3015 Robin Rd	zoned MF now, but is a developed/existing single family home accessed from a neighborhood street.	Yes - N1	N1 Preservation	6
41	7007 Idlewild Rd	Seems like a small area for campus designation	Yes - N1	Campus Fix	5
42	7328 Wallace Rd	N2, part of adjacent N2 development to the north and south., only 7410 and 7440 were not rezoned, not sure if those should also be changed to N2, or left as N1.	Yes - N2	Correction	5
43	5800 Sardis Rd	Area should be part of Providence Day Campus not N1.	Yes - CAMP	Correction	6
44	5100 Sardis Rd - Parcel 18701103	N2, townhomes like adjacent Coventry Row	Yes - N2	Correction	6
45	4801 Providence Rd	parcels part of campus 4801, 4809, 4815, 1115, 1101, 1089, 1027 and 1015	Yes - CAMP	Campus Fix	6
46	7802 Fairview Rd	This comment has been made for several drafts and has not been changed. This is a neighborhood shopping center and should be zoned Neighborhood Center. It is not a multi-family property and should not be zoned Neighborhood 2. Thank You.	Yes - NAC	N2_to_NAC	6
47	7800 Fairview Rd	This parcel contains an established shopping center that provides restaurants and retail uses for surrounding neighborhoods. Neighborhood Center is more appropriate in this location.	Yes - NAC	N2_to_NAC	6
48	6950 Fairview Rd - Phillips Place CT	Current rezoning #2021-151 deviates from Placetype of mid-rise 5-7 stories. Aking for 20 story 250ft height which more than double existing zoning or proposed 2040 height guidelines	Yes - RAC	Correction	6
49	6610 Fairview Rd	Why is this a Community Activity Center when the rest of South Park is Regional Activity Center?	Yes - RAC	Correction	6
50	5100 Sharon Rd	25 acre Sharon Towers, senior living, nursing care. Change to Campus	Yes - CAMP	Campus Fix	6
51	6001 Quail Hollow	why carve out a N1 when surrounded by N2 and accross from the largest YMCA in America? should be N2	Yes - N2	Correction	6
52	Steele Creek Rd & Douglas Dr	Numerous parcels controlled by the Airport have been rezoned and should be ML place type. Council has approved the sale of the parcels for industrial development.	Yes - ML	Airport_Owner	3
53	Steele Creek Rd & Whispering Pines Ln & Steeleberry Dr	N1 recommended PT in area Airport has acquired parcels, should all be M+L PT	Yes - ML	Airport_Owner	3
54	Concourse Boulevard, south of Shopton Rd	This was just rezoned last year for I-1 uses. The approved street network is shown but ML is not shown and should be.	Yes - ML	Rezoning	3
55	Concourse Boulevard, south of Shopton Rd	This was just rezoned last year for I-1 uses along with multifamily, office and retail. The approved street network is shown but ML is not shown and should be.	Yes - ML	Rezoning	3
56	9129 Southern Pine Boulevard	This is part of an existing, master planned business park and should be ML like the rest of the park.	Yes - ML	Rezoning	3
57	9125 Southern Pine Boulevard	This is part of an existing, master planned business park and should be ML like the rest of the park.	Yes - ML	Rezoning	3
58	PID 20303142 - next to 10200 Nations Ford Rd	Parks and Preserve - owned by Meck County for greenway use	Yes - PP	Greenway	3
59	221 Short Hills Dr	Not a campus	Yes - N1	Campus Fix	3
60	119 Short Hills Dr	Not a campus	Yes - N1	Campus Fix	3
61	8500 Nations Ford Rd	Day care next to CMS school, school is N1, dont think the daycare is a campus.	Yes - N1	Campus Fix	3
63	Parcel 22323105 - next to 12630 Atkins Circle Dr	Either parks/preserves or regional activity center. N1 residential is not going to be built here, its separated from the neighborhood by McAlpine Creek and is access through Tarringdon.	Yes - RAC	Correction	7
64	Endhaven & Community House	This is MF/ N2	Yes - N2	Correction	7
65	8015 Ballantyne Commons Pkwy	This site is also an institutional use, make campus to match adjacent parcels.	Yes - CAMP	Campus Fix	7
66	7600 Ballantyne Commons Pkwy	Make CAC, it is zoned for Office use and is adjacent to other CAC	Yes - CAC	Correction	7
67	15025 Lancaster Hwy	This is zoned NS (Neighborhood Services) should be Neighborhood Center Place Type	Yes - NAC	COMM_to_NAC	7
68	Parcel 22309167 at E corner of Landing Place Ln & Lancaster Hwy	This is County owned Greenway - should be Park & Preserves	Yes - PP	Greenway	7
69	16525 Lancaster Hwy	This island of N1 seem odd, make N2 or Commercial	Yes - N2	Correction	7
70	16525 Lancaster Hwy	Religious institution, zoned R-3, but keeping it as N1 doesnt match use and context, make N2 or commercial.	Yes - N2	Correction	7
71	Parcel 22352104 at Ardrey Kell Rd & Lancaster Hwy	Island of N1 seems odd,	Yes - ML	Correction	7
72	Parcel 22352104 at Ardrey Kell Rd & Lancaster Hwy	Parcel owned by County, N1 doesnt make sense with adjacent uses and place types. Make logistics and manufacturing as it is part of the Foxhole recycling and yard waste center.	Yes - ML	Correction	7
73	11000 Beau Riley Rd	campus per approved rezoning 2021-161	Yes - N2	Campus Fix	7
74	10115 Ardrey Kell Rd	N2 per approved rezoning 2021-161	Yes - N2	Rezoning	7
75	Parcel 22915308 at Providence Rd south of 485	parcel lines are messed up but 22915308 is being developed as MUDD zoned climate controlled self storage, suggest CAC place type	Yes - CAC	Correction	7
76	13010 Eastfield Road	Does not meet the criteria of N1. It is an 11 acre shopping area with 8 bldgs. Should be Commercial or Neighborhood Center.	Yes - NAC	Zoning	4
77	Mallard Creek Church Road	This is entitled for a commercial shopping center with a 200,000 square foot commercial anchor. Multifamily is entitles for the north side of	Yes - COMM	Rezoning	4

78	Mallard Creek Church Road and Tryon Street	This large site is owned by Novant and they are planning a hospital.	Yes - NAC	Rezoning	4
79	louis rose place	this is a future mixed use site	Yes - CAC	Rezoning	4
80	7200 E W T Harris Blvd	Should not be Commercial but should be Campus like the rest of the entities parcels. Current use is a Church.	Yes - CAMP	Campus Fix	5
81	Cherry Street & Main Street (Parcel ID: 125225)	Align with Adopted MMC Area Plan--should remain as indicated on Land Use and Existing Zoning of R-8 DUA/Single Family (or N1) to as	Yes - N1	Correction	1
82	504 Cherry Street (12522101) -- various address	Should remain as indicated on Land Use and Existing Zoning of R-8 DUA/Single Family (or N1) to assist in protecting the residential edge	Yes - N2	Correction	1
83	500/510 S. Torrence Street (Parcel ID# 125225)	How will schools be treated in Policy Map Process -- the historic Morgan School abuts AME Zion Church--both have Deed Restrictions, b	Yes - N1	Correction	1
84	5103 Mt Olive Church	odd 2 slivers of campus. was the entire church property to be campus?	Yes - N1	Zoning	Charlotte ETJ
85	4040 Shopton Road	Should be M&L like abutting parcels. Current use is an office.	Yes - ML	Correction	Charlotte ETJ
86	4832 Sandy Porter Rd.	This parcel should be N1 as it is owned by the same landowner of the abutting parcel and is part of his yard.	Yes - N1	Correction	Charlotte ETJ
87	Vicinity of 4600 Sandy Porter Rd	Should be M&L. RZP approved 4/14/2019 for up to 2 million sq. ft. of warehousing.	Yes - ML	Rezoning	3
88	14947 York Road	This should be Campus. It is a High School under construction. Of the 27 CMS HS in Charlotte 22 are Campus. Should be consistent. i	Yes - CAMP	Campus Fix 25 Acres	Charlotte ETJ
200	Ellenwood Pl & Freeland Ln & Dewitt Ln	Would it make sense for this block to be an Activity Center/TOD?	No	N1 Preservation	3
201	Yorkshire Dr & Ellenwood Pl	Is Neighborhood 2 more appropriate than Neighborhood 1 due to the proximity to the Scaleybark Transit Station?	No	N1 Preservation	3
202	Garrison Rd, east of 485	This land should also be CAC to tie harmony back to west blvd and The River District place setting	No	N1 Preservation	Charlotte ETJ
203	Rhyne Rd, south of Mt Holly Rd	Parcel is vacant land bordered by 4 M&L parcels to N, 2 M&L to S, tributary to W, and major road E. 2 I-485 exits with quick access to airport, CSX freight, UPS, and multiple Amazon facilities makes Ideal M&L not R1. Under runway glide path w/complain	No	Existing Zoning	Charlotte ETJ
204	Hazelton & Sharon Rd	Triangle Area is being turned in to a park and should be shown as so.	No	Parcel Specific	6
205	Rhyne Rd south of Mt Holly Rd	The access of this site to Mt. Holly Rd, I-485, I-85, vicinity to CSX rail and CLT Airport, flight paths, existing Mfg. & Logistics in area, stream tributary to the west providing a natural buffer to residential, make it ideal for Mfg. & Log. Place Type.	No	Existing Zoning	Charlotte ETJ
206	13600 S Tryon St	this is either a CCRC or a Residential care facility already zoned Instit. neither use is allowed in N1 or N2a. Needs to be changed	No	Parcel Specific	3
207	03711303 + surrounding on Thompson Rd & McIntyre Av	existing R-MH needs to be preserved for affordable housing. your N1 classification will make this a non-conforming use unless it gets a MHO or MHP	No	Misunderstanding	2
208	Whitewater Center - 5000 Whitewater Center Pkwy	This is a Amusement Facility not allowed in N1 or N2. needs to be CC	No	Parcel Specific	Charlotte ETJ
209	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Currently multifamily and should remain N2 - not NC because it is too close / interated with adjacent N1 areas off Charlotte Dr. Charlotte Dr does not have the access that NC parcels to the east have off Kenilworth and Scott.	No	PED_Overlay	1
210	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Currently multifamily and should remain N2 - not NC because it is too close / interated with adjacent N1 areas off Charlotte Dr. Charlotte Dr does not have the access that NC parcels to the east have off Kenilworth and Scott.	No	PED_Overlay	1
211	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Currently multifamily and should remain N2 - not NC because it is too close / interated with adjacent N1 areas off Charlotte Dr. Charlotte Dr does not have the access that NC parcels to the east have off Kenilworth and Scott.	No	PED_Overlay	1
212	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Currently multifamily and should remain N2 - not NC because it is too close / interated with adjacent N1 areas off Charlotte Dr. Charlotte Dr does not have the access that NC parcels to the east have off Kenilworth and Scott.	No	PED_Overlay	1
213	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Also, this property is currently on the edge of the Dilworth Historic District	No	PED_Overlay	1
214	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Also, this property is currently on the edge of the Dilworth Historic District	No	PED_Overlay	1
215	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Also, this property is currently on the edge of the Dilworth Historic District	No	PED_Overlay	1
216	1900 block of Charlotte Dr	Also, this property is currently on the edge of the Dilworth Historic District	No	PED_Overlay	1
217	Parcel 03322118 - near Brookshire & 485	Really think the planning committee should reconsider the classification of this parcel as from N-2 to Park & Preserves given that it is surrounded the latter on all sides.	No	Greenway	Charlotte ETJ
218	Parcel 03322119 - near Brookshire & 485	Really think the planning committee should reconsider the classification of this parcel as from N-2 to Park & Preserves given that it is surrounded the latter on all sides.	No	Greenway	Charlotte ETJ
219	9466 Hutchinson Ln	Is there enough commercial place types within 1 mile of this area to support N-2s? Wouldn't it be more appropriate to place classify parcels around Oakdale and Sunset as N-2? It doesn't seem like this one lone N-2 area matches with the rest of the N-1 ar	No	Rezoning	Charlotte ETJ
220	Parcel 03307413 - behind 4321 Oakdale Rd	Surrounded by N-1, there isn't enough commercial places to support the traffic/economy this would bring. Should be N-1.	No	N2 Expansion	Charlotte ETJ
221	Parcels at/adjacent to 4633 Oakdale Rd	Surrounded by N-1, there isn't enough commercial places to support the traffic/economy this would bring. Should be N-1.	No	N2 Expansion	Charlotte ETJ
222	Parcels at/adjacent to 4700 Oakdale Rd	Surrounded by N-1, there isn't enough commercial places to support the traffic/economy this would bring. Should be N-1.	No	N2 Expansion	Charlotte ETJ
223	Parcel 03142186 - across from 9530 Bellhaven Blvd	Surrounded by N-1, there isn't enough commercial places to support the traffic/economy this would bring. Should be N-1.	No	N2 Expansion	2
224	1827 Lawing Rd	Near neighborhood activity centers (food, market, essential services) and therefore should have N-2 places located at these crossroads...),	No	N1 Preservation	Charlotte ETJ
225	1100 Scaleybark Rd	parcel surrounded by N2, fronting an arterial should be N2	No	N1 Preservation	1
226	4000 Applegate Rd	This parcel is ripe for redevelopment, less than 1/2 mile to Scaleybark Station, and density should not be limited to N2.	No	Parcel Specific	1

227	8600 University City Blvd	This center should be a Community Activity Center and not a Neighborhood Center like the other centers on University Center Blvd and North Tryon.	No	Corridor Review	4
228	Queens Harbor Rd	because these are stacked flat NOT on sublots and no garages the classification needs to be N2 or higher	No	Parcel Specific	Charlotte ETJ
229	9631 Providence Rd Charlotte NC 28270	Large parcels on Providence Rd should be designated in Neighborhood 2 and allowed higher density potential.	No	N1 Preservation	7
230	704 Tyvola Rd	site just rezoned to TOD-NC, offices east of here are close to station. suggest community activity center for everything east of Costco	No	Parcel Specific	3
231	100 Dalton Ave, 1625 N Tryon St, 109 Sylvania Ave	100 Dalton Ave, 1625 N Tryon St, 109 Sylvania Ave should be included in the Community Activity Center designation. They are fronting an arterial road and are within walking distance of the Parkwood Lightrail Station.	No	Parcel Specific	1
232	Parcel 20520202 - South of 800 Aileen Cr	Past planning work and adjacent rezoning activity would suggest N2 for this parcel	No	Parcel Specific	3
233	East Corner of Lancaster Hwy and Ballantyne Commons Pkwy	only the corner parcel and the woodies should be commercial, N2 or N1 for townhomes on Adair Manor Ct.	No	Parcel Specific	7
234	11902 Elm Lane	area of N1 surrounded by N2	No	N1 Preservation	7
235	3010 Crosby Rd	N2, developed and zoned for MF, would provide transition to N1 area.	No	Parcel Specific	6
236	2097 Hugh Forest Rd	N1 or parks and preserves	No	Parcel Specific	7
237	Bechton Park Dr and Ebley Ln	seems odd to have these private street be N2, when the areas adjacent are N1	No	N1 Preservation	5
238	6633 Providence Rd	not sure if this site is fine with N1F or should be N2 per approved rezoning for townhomes.	No	Parcel Specific	7
239	2356 Carmel Rd	This is a County Park - should be designated as Parks & Preserve	No	PP_Public	6
240	4741 Randolph Rd	This is developed as office - not N1	No	Parcel Specific	6
241	15024 Ballancroft Pwy	this was rezoned for Police and Affordable Housing - should be Neighborhood Center	No	Parcel Specific	7
242	Cambridge Rd & Park Rd	This is part of Holy Trinity school - both should be campus	No	Campus Fix	1
243	Trianon Condos at Colony Rd & Roxborough Rd	This should be RAC	No	Parcel Specific	6
244	Hwy 51 & Rea Rd	Library	No	Parcel Specific	7
245	6815 Endhaven Ln	School / Campus	No	Campus Fix	7
246	1528 Providence Rd	Restaurants/retail	No	Parcel Specific	6
247	1412 Providence Rd	Church - campus	No	Campus Fix	6
248	Eastway Rec Center - 3150 Eastway Park Dr	This road needs a stoplight badly! Traffic backs up for long periods and it's very hard to cross at the pedestrian crossing.	No	Traffic Related	1
249	Druid Hills Park at Norris Ave & Poinsett St	This should show up as open space	No	Place Type Palette	1
250	Double Oaks Park & Anita Stroud Park	These parks and the connecting area should show up as Open Space	No	PP_Public	1
251	Cleveland Av and Tremont Av lots to the NE	Should be changed back to Activity Center	No	Staff Review	1
252	3427 Cypress Club Ln	50+ acres is large enough to not be 'parcel specific.' this is CCRC so it needs to be NC, CAC, or RAC	No	Parcel Specific	6
253	WT Harris - United Church	Campus (similar to the church at the corner) not N1	No	Existing Zoning	2
254	721 W Sugar Creek Rd	Should this be N2 as the current use is a Healthcare facility. N2 definition does not mention health care uses.	No	Corridor of Opportunity	1
255	723 W Sugar Creek Rd	Why was this changed from N1 to N2 when it is a single family detached dwelling?	No	Corridor of Opportunity	1
256	701 W. Sugar Creek Rd	What justification is there to change the Place Type from N1 to Neighborhood Center? Current Zoning is R-4.	No	Corridor of Opportunity	1
257	601 W. Sugar Creek Rd	What was the justification for Neighborhood Center versus previous draft Neighborhood 1? Current Zoning is R-4.	No	Corridor of Opportunity	1
258	N Tryon between W Craighead & E Sugar Creek Rd	What is the justification for this being a Campus Place Type when it contains a church, a body shop, a bank etc. Doesn't meet the definition.	No	Campus Fix	1
259	16219 Youngblood Rd.	This property is zoned for & developed with a non-residential use, an indoor pet center. This use provides a neighborhood serving personal service use. The Site should be classified NC. There are non-residential uses developed & planned next to the site	No	Parcel Specific	Charlotte ETJ
260	416 Archdale Dr	This should not be a Commercial Place Type. The current use is a Church.	No	Parcel Specific	3
261	2201-2237 Park Rd	NC is appropriate and consistent with other residential transitions in the historic district. These properties need NC's flexibility to prosper in highest/best use.	No	Support	1
262	East Blvd east of South Blvd	Skyscrapers (RAC) would be out of character here. They also would also contradict the Historic District guidelines on some of these parcels. This should be NC like the rest of East BLVD	No	Rezoning	1
263	Block between Worthington, South, East and Cleveland.	The parcel used to justify this all being regional activity center because it extends to Cleveland is the Fire Station. Are we saying that this should have an uptown-like placetype because of a firehouse?	No	Rezoning	1
264	220 & 228 East Blvd, 1804 Cleveland	These parcels in the HD should be NC just like the odd numbered parcels on the 200 block of East Blvd. to provide appropriate transition into the neighborhood.	No	Rezoning	1
265	Morehead St from Mcdowell to Queens Rd	Still confused why parcels outside of the hospital are being recommended for campus, which is lower density than the current PED overlay. all these parcels (many of which are currently multi-family) should be zoned RAC	No	Campus Fix	1
266	Elizabeth Av corridor SE of Kings	Still confused why many parcels (including those along gold line) are zoned lower density campus outside of the hospital boundaries. should be either CAC or RAC. recent rezonings have been approved for heights over 200' and residential in this area.	No	Campus Fix	1
267	Providence Rd & Colonial Ave - near hospital	Should be a different category than campus for locations outside of the hospital to support appropriate uses and density this close to uptown	No	Campus Fix	6

268	Trianon Condos at Colony Rd & Roxborough Rd	Should be RAC with everything surrounding, adjacency to colony and rexford office	No	Parcel Specific	6
269	2101 S Tryon	Same comment, response doesn't make any sense. this is a donut hole in the middle of RAC	No	Existing Zoning	3
270	Dunavant & Distribution St at Remount Rd	Somment response does not provide any clari	No	Existing Zoning	3
271	1125 E Morehead St	Campus is not appropriate here...too restrictive for the future density/intensity and mix of uses.	No	Campus Fix	1
272	325 W. Summit	This corner should mirror the other corners as a Regional Activity Center with its current TOD-NC zoning, proximity to current Lynx Blue Line and future Lynx Silver Line.	No	Existing Zoning	3
273	317 W. Summit	This parcel is owned with the corner parcel (325 W. Summit Ave) and should mirror the other corners as a Regional Activity Center with its current TOD-NC zoning, proximity to current Lynx Blue Line and future Lynx Silver Line.	No	Existing Zoning	3
274	Woodlawn/Tryon/I-77	Regional Activity Centers are large, high-density mixed-use areas, typically along transit corridors or major roadways, that provide access to goods, services, dining, offices, entertainment, and residential for regional residents and visitors.	No	Parcel Specific	3
275	Elizabeth Avenue - North of Charlottetowne	Campus is too restrictive...should be CAC	No	Campus Fix	1
276	2107 W Mallard Creek Church	Uses allowed in campus place type should be reconsidered as having a mix of residential uses in this area is needed.	No	Implementation Process + Administration	4
277	2107 W Mallard Creek Church Rd	The 2040 plan notes that supporting uses for campus place type includes residential, but article 15 draft does not allow any residential uses in a campus district.	No	Implementation Process + Administration	4
278	WT Harris and Plaza	why is this neighborhood center when it is all commercial uses	No	Corridor Review	5
279	Howie Acres - E Sugar Creek & Redwood Av	Land so close to public transit should allow for higher density- like neighborhood 2. These streets are steps away from the Lynx.	No	N1 Preservation	1
280	Benard Ave & E 36th St	This area is so close to public transit, why should it not be developed to a higher density, such as N-2?	No	N1 Preservation	1
281	N Davidson Street & E 37th St	This area is so close to public transit, why should it not be developed to a higher density, such as N-2?	No	N1 Preservation	1
282	Clark Blvd & N Tryon	This area is so close to public transit and in the middle of a higher-density area, why should it not be developed to a higher density,?	No	N1 Preservation	4
283	Hampton Church Rd & N Tryon St	This area is so close to public transit and in the middle of a higher-density area, why should it not be developed to a higher density?	No	N1 Preservation	4
284	5816 Orr Rd	This area is so close to public transit and in the middle of a higher-density area, why should it not be developed to a higher density?	No	N1 Preservation	4
285	3905 Bearwood Ave	N1 not appropriate for land so close to public transit, should allow for higher density	No	N1 Preservation	1
286	Parkwood Ave & 18th St	N1 Not appropriate for land so close to public transit, should be developed to higher density.	No	N1 Preservation	1
287	Area around 300 Marsh Rd	N1 Not appropriate for land so close to public transit, should be developed to higher density.	No	N1 Preservation	1
288	Area around 2240 Camp Greene St	N1 Not appropriate for land so close to future public transit, should be developed to higher density.	No	N1 Preservation	2
289	6401 Old Plank Rd	The property is adjacent to industrial use sites, as well as a mobile home park (40 homes). A high density like N2 would be more appropriate as a transition to existing single family neighborhoods on the opposite side of the road.	No	N1 Preservation	2
290	6339 Old Plank Rd	The property is adjacent to industrial use sites, as well as a mobile home park (40 homes). A high density like N2 would be more appropriate as a transition to existing single family neighborhoods on the opposite side of the road.	No	N1 Preservation	2
291	6331 Old Plank Rd	The property is adjacent to industrial use sites, as well as a mobile home park (40 homes). A high density like N2 would be more appropriate as a transition to existing single family neighborhoods on the opposite side of the road.	No	N1 Preservation	2
292	6235 Old Plank Rd	The property is adjacent to industrial use sites, as well as a mobile home park (40 homes). A high density like N2 would be more appropriate as a transition to existing single family neighborhoods on the opposite side of the road.	No	N1 Preservation	2
293	6209 Old Plank Rd	The property is adjacent to industrial use sites, as well as a mobile home park (40 homes). A high density like N2 would be more appropriate as a transition to existing single family neighborhoods on the opposite side of the road.	No	N1 Preservation	2
294	Woodlawn & I-77	These properties are likley to redevelop in the near future, and are in the path of growth from LoSo and the Bewery district, close proximity to the Woodlawn light rail stop, and at a major interchange. should be zoned for mixed use density in CAC or RA	No	Parcel Specific	3
295	Airport controlled parcels N of Wilkinson (US74) between Todd Rd & Tuckaseegee	Should be ML not IMU. This area is not an "older urban area" and there are no "older industrial structure" that could be "adaptively reused.". They are currently undeveloped and the Airport is actively seeking development consistent with ML p	No	Silver Line	3
296	Airport controlled parcels N of Wilkinson (US74) between Billy Graham Pkwy & Barry Dr	should be Commercial and not Regional Activity Center. The areas are not "large, high density mixed-use areas" providing access to "residential" development. Any development on Airport controlled parcels must prohibit residential development.	No	Silver Line	3
297	Airport controlled parcels N of Wilkinson (US74) between Scott Futrell & Stafford Dr	Should be Commercial and not Innovation Mixed Use. The area does not have existing industrial structures available for adapative reuse. All development will be new and consisistent with aeronautical activity prohibiting residential usage.	No	Silver Line	3
298	Airport controlled parcels N of Wilkinson (US74) between Stafford Dr & Boyer St	Should be Commercial and not Community Activity Center. Residential use is not compatiabile with aeronautical use and must be prohibited around the Airport.	No	Silver Line	3
299	South Tryon & Woodland Rd	Ideal site for mixed-use. Close to LoSo, Brewery District, Light Rail on a major interchange. Would be much better for the city as a high-density, mixed-use development! Agree maybe CAC or RAC.	No	Existing Zoning	3
300	Lynnwood Dr & Jameston Dr & Irby Dr	This area is in the midst of an entirely residential area - the vast majority single family homes and duplexes. Neighborhood 2 designation would not be appropriate in this area, particularly large buildings and commercial properties.	No	Mapping Approach	6
301	5449 Milhaven Lane	This property is in transition to development. It is more conducive for N2	No	Existing Zoning	2
302	5433 Milhaven Lane	This property is being combined with other parcels for development. Needs to be N2	No	Existing Zoning	2
303	5445 Milhaven Lane	This property is being combined with other parcels for development. Needs to be N2	No	Existing Zoning	2

304	5435 Milhaven Lane	This property is being combined with other properties for development. More conducive for N2	No	Existing Zoning	2
305	1941 8th St	This should be grouped with the adjacent Neighborhood Center place type as it is a retail business at street level with an active ground floor to support a pedestrian environment.	No	Mapping Approach	1
306	1940 8th St	This street level, retail business should be grouped with the adjacent Neighborhood Center place type.	No	Mapping Approach	1
307	1425 Academy & surrounding land	this will be n2 in the udo. it should be n2 since its in walking distance to noda	No	N1 Preservation	1
308	4240 The Plaza	this should be neighborhood 2. its already an apartment complex. everything on both sides of the plaza that are mf 22 should be neighborhood 2 as well. this is a central dense urban area	No	Mapping Approach	1
309	3905 Bearwood Ave	this should be neighborhood 2. this is next to a transit station	No	N1 Preservation	1
310	5100 Sharon Rd	this is another example of existing CCRC that will become non-conforming f you ignore that it's too tall for N1 & use is not allowed in N1. Should be CAC	No	Parcel Specific	6
311	8250 Moores Chapel Rd	Will Moores Chapel Rd be widened to accomodate an increase in traffic? And where will traffic lights be added to help those of us who live in Forest Pawtucket get out of our neighborhoods?	No	Traffic Related	3
312	Moores Chapel Rd & 485 ramps	We need lighting in this area. It's to dark at this round-about.	No	Traffic Related	3
313	1407 Rhyne Rd	No manufacturing and logistics without plans to improve roads and add traffic lights.	No	Support	3
314	5100 Snow White Ln, Charlotte, NC 28213	Designation should be updated to Campus Place Type	No	Campus Fix	1
315	Calgary Drive end of road	Excellent addition for green space and connectivity!	No	Greenway	5
316	Plaza Road Extension	What's the impetus for N2? Needs to come with light installation if considering additional growth here; that curve is incredibly dangerous	No	EGF	5
317	Louis Rose Drive	This will be a main crossroads in the URP, capable of supporting mixed use/housing/activity centers. There are underutilized parcels on t	No	Parcel Specific	4
318	Gold Finch Road	This is existing housing	No	Place Type Palette	4
319	Treble Court	This is existing residential / office node. There are over 2-million square feet of office located within 1/2 mile of this location., and the addi	No	Place Type Palette	4
320	Claude Freeman Drive	This massive parcel should be allowed to become mixed use in the future, campus does not allow this.	No	Parcel Specific	4
321	One Electrolux Way	This site is the center of the URP its 4 million of office space. This should be able to become an activity / lifestyle center, creating a 10-m	No	Place Type Palette	4
322	Legranger Drive	This site need more flexibility - it could be used for office, industrial or multifamily.	No	EGF	4
323	IBM Drive	There are 2.6 million square feet of office within the IBM Drive loop. A recent rezoning adds 800 residential units, 100,000 feet of service	No	Zoning	2
324	IBM Drive	Multifamily is being built here now, and the 900 residents who will live on this side of IBM Drive will rely on the commercial inside the IBM	No	Place Type Palette	4
325	E. Mallard Creek Curch Road	UCP and staff rejected a proposed industrial rezoning at this location. There are many single family homes here, access to the interstate	No	Parcel Specific	4
326	Mallard Creek Church Road	This area, combined with the MF designation, north of Mallard Creek Church Road, will not achieve the intent of the 10-minute neighborh	No	Zoning	4
327	1418 Luther Street (Parcel ID12622601)	Park / Preserves -- Deed has restrictive covenant(s) for use. Existing Place Type of N1 would violate the restrictions.	No	Zoning	1
328	1418 Luther Street, 28204 (Parcel 12522601)	Modification to previous comment: Current suggested Place Type of N2 would violate the Deed restrictions--this was an oversight in the A	No	Place Type Palette	1
329	corner of Albemarle Road & Pierson Drive	Should remain Neighborhood 1 keeping Eastway Park/Sheffield Park Neighborhood, whole as N1	No	Support	5
330	Blocks at Pierson Dr. & Albemarle Rd.	Should remain as Commercial Land Use for Businesses and keeping to the Neighborhood 1 of Eastway Park/Sheffield Park Neighborhood	No	Support	5
331	4901 Albemarle Road-4951 Albemarle Rd.	All Commercial Place Type and should remain Commercial Place Type w?Eastway Park/Sheffield Park Neighborhood backing these parc	No	Support	5
332	This Parcel/block @ 5101 Albemarle Rd & 5135	Both Parcels, Block on Albemarle Rd. remain Commercial Placetype	No	Support	5
333	5311-5329 Greenbrook Drive	Remain Commercial Placetype with Eastway Park/Sheffield Park remaining Neighborhood 1	No	Support	5
334	3129 & 3125 Driftwood Drive	Remain Commercial PlaceType backing Eastway Park/Sheffield Park Neighborhood remaining Neighborhood 1	No	Support	5
335	1710-1821 Norland Road	Remain Commercial Placetype	No	Support	5
336	3709 N Tryon St	Does not meet the criteria for Campus. It is a Burger King and should be Commercial.	No	Place Type Palette	1
337	3737 N Tryon Street	Does not be the criteria for Campus. Should be Commercial as current use is a 7-11 Gas Station.	No	Place Type Palette	1
338	1618 Courtney Landing Drive	Should not be Neighbourhood Center as it is an apartment complex and should have the same PT as the rest of the complex N-2	No	Place Type Palette	3
339	1515 Shopton Road	Does not meet criteria for Neighborhood Center as it is an Office Bldg. There is no retail in the near vicinity.	No	Place Type Palette	3
340	416 Archdale Dr.	This should not be commercial as it is owned by the church on the abutting parcel.	No	Zoning	3
341	500 Aarchdale Drive	Question whether this meets the criteria for Commercial as it is an Addiction Center which I would consider a Healthcare facility.	No	Zoning	3
342	Microsoft Way & Hanson Rd	Does not meet the criteria for Campus as each facility has a different purpose from Auto Repair to FBI.	No	Place Type Palette	3
343	Arrowpoint Blvd	Does not meet the criteria for Campus as it has multiple entities that are not related. Should be M&L like other office parks.	No	Place Type Palette	3
344	16315 to 16219 Youngblood (6.8 ac)	should all be NC given uses of Kennel & Fire Dept	No	Place Type Palette	Charlotte ETJ
345	Southern Pine Blvd	Does not meet the criteria for Campus as it has multiple entities not related. Also does not make sense to have abutting parcels of the sa	No	Place Type Palette	3
346	9521 Albemarle Road & Vicinity	Does not meet the criteria for CAC. Is not walkable, is not connected to adjoining neighborhoods. Should be Commercial.	No	EGF	5
347	9200 Harrisburg Road	Should be N-1. Property owned by Robinson Presbyterian Church on the abutting parcel to the north.	No	EGF	5
348	9300 Bob Beatty Road	Should not be Commercial as the current use is a School.	No	Zoning	4
349	13413 Crescent Springs Dr	Does not meet the criteria for M&L. Current use is an Apartment complex.	No	Place Type Palette	3
350	Steele Creek Rd & Brown-Grier Rd Intersection	What is the justification for putting this parcel currently zoned R-3 into a N-2 Place Type?	No	Transitions	3
351	Airport Drive & West Blvd	Should be N-2 and not M&L. Property is owned by the City like the parcel to the north. All abutting parcels by the same owner should ha	No	Airport Ownership	3
352	East & Cleveland	TOD UC zoning should extend to Cleveland. Any property with potential frontage on South has potential to offer unique scale and charac	No	Support	1
353	Cleveland & Tremont	Keep UC zoning for any parcels west of Cleveland, especially if parcels have contiguity to South blvd. These parcels have access and	No	Support	1
354	Lawing Street	Our Community of Todd Park is being swallowed up by manufacturing and logistics. There must be other areas that can be utilized. The t	No	N1 Preservation	2

355	Cleveland and Worthington	Should be NC to transition into the neighborhood.	No	Zoning	1
999	Area west of Lancaster Hwy	This whole area is in the City's ETJ - Need Place Type Recommendations here	No		Mecklenburg County